FLAT FAMILIES BY STRONGLY STABLE IDEALS AND A GENERALIZATION OF GRÖBNER BASES

FRANCESCA CIOFFI AND MARGHERITA ROGGERO

ABSTRACT. Let J be a strongly stable monomial ideal in $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and let $\mathcal{M}f(J)$ be the family of all homogeneous ideals I in S such that the set of all terms outside J is a K-vector basis of the quotient S/I. We show that an ideal I belongs to $\mathcal{M}f(J)$ if and only if it is generated by a special set of polynomials, the J-marked basis of I, that in some sense generalizes the notion of reduced Gröbner basis and its constructive capabilities. Indeed, although not every J-marked basis is a Gröbner basis with respect to some term order, a sort of normal form modulo $I \in \mathcal{M}f(J)$ can be computed for every homogeneous polynomial, so that a J-marked basis can be characterized by a Buchberger-like criterion. Using J-marked bases, we prove that the family $\mathcal{M}f(J)$ can be endowed, in a very natural way, with a structure of affine scheme that turns out to be homogeneous with respect to a non-standard grading and flat in the origin (the point corresponding to J), thanks to properties of J-marked bases analogous to those of Gröbner bases about syzygies.

Introduction

Let J be any monomial ideal in the polynomial ring $S := K[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ in n+1 variables endowed so that $x_0 < x_1 < \ldots < x_n$ and let us denote $\mathcal{N}(J)$ the set of terms outside J. In this paper we consider the family $\mathcal{M}f(J)$ of ideals I of S such that $S = I \oplus \langle \mathcal{N}(J) \rangle$ as a K-vector space and investigate under which conditions this family is in some natural way an algebraic scheme. If $\mathcal{N}(J)$ is not finite, the family of such ideals can be too large. For instance, if $J = (x_0) \subset K[x_0, x_1]$, the family of all ideals such that S/I is generated by $\mathcal{N}(J) = \{x_1^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ depends on infinitely many parameters. For this reason we restrict ourselves to the homogeneous case.

To study the family $\mathcal{M}f(J)$ we introduce a set of particular homogeneous polynomials, called J-marked set, that becomes a J-marked basis when it generates an ideal I that belongs to $\mathcal{M}f(J)$. If J is strongly stable a J-marked basis satisfies most of the good properties of a reduced homogeneous Gröbner basis and, for this reason, we assume that J is strongly stable. However, even under this assumption, a J-marked basis does not need to be a Gröbner basis (Example 3.18). We show that a suitable rewriting procedure allows to compute a sort of normal forms and to recognize a J-marked basis by a Buchberger-like criterion. This criterion is the tool by which we construct the family $\mathcal{M}f(J)$ following the line of the computation of a Gröbner stratum, that is the family of all ideals that have J as initial ideal with respect to a fixed term order. In the last years, several authors have been working on Gröbner strata, proving that they have a natural and well defined structure of algebraic schemes, that springs out of a procedure based on Buchberger's algorithm [4, 11, 16, 18, 19], and that they are homogeneous with respect to a non standard positive grading over \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} [6]. In this context, it is worth also

to recall that in [13] a method is described to compute all liftings of a homogeneous ideal with an approach different from, but close to the method applied to study Gröbner strata.

The paper is organized in the following way. In section 1 we give definitions and basic properties of J-marked sets and bases, with several examples. In section 2, in the hypothesis that J is strongly stable, we prove the existence of a sort of normal form, modulo the ideal generated by a J-marked set, for every homogeneous polynomial (Theorem 2.2). A consequence is that, if J is strongly stable, a J-marked set G is a J-marked basis if and only if J and the ideal generated by G share the same Hilbert function (Corollaries 2.3 and 2.4). From now we suppose that J is strongly stable and in section 3 define a total order (Definitions 3.4 and 3.9) on some special polynomials and give an algorithm to compute our normal forms by a rewriting procedure. This computation opens the access to effective methods for J-marked bases, as a Buchberger-like criterion (Theorem 3.12) that recognizes when a J-marked set is a J-marked basis G, also allowing to lift syzygies of J to syzygies of G.

In section 4 we study the family $\mathcal{M}f(J)$, computing it by the Buchberger-like criterion and showing that there is a bijective correspondence between the ideals of $\mathcal{M}f(J)$ and the points of an affine scheme (Theorem 4.1). A possible objection to our construction is that it depends on a procedure of reduction, which is not unique in general. For this reason we show that $\mathcal{M}f(J)$ has a structure of an affine scheme, that is given by the ideal generated by minors of some matrices and that is homogeneous with respect to a non-standard grading over the additive group \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} (Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 4.4). Moreover, we note that $\mathcal{M}f(J)$ is flat in J and that the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of every ideal $I \in \mathcal{M}f(J)$ is bounded from above by the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of J (Proposition 4.5). In the Appendix, over a field K of characteristic zero, we give an explicit computation of a family $\mathcal{M}f(J)$ which is scheme-theoretically isomorphic to a locally closed subset of the Hilbert scheme of 8 points in \mathbb{P}^2 (see also [12]). We note that it strictly contains the union of all Gröbner strata with J as initial ideal and that it is not isomorphic to an affine space, even though the point corresponding to J is smooth.

We refer to [3, 10, 14] for definitions and results about Gröbner bases and to [20] for definitions and results about Hilbert functions of standard graded algebras.

A preliminary version of this paper has been written and posed at arXiv:1005.0457 by the second author.

1. Generators of a quotient S/I and generators of I

In this section we investigate relations among generators of a homogeneous ideal I of S and generators of the quotient S/I, under some fixed conditions on generators of S/I.

For every integer $m \geq 0$, the K-vector space of all m-degree homogeneous polynomials of I is denoted I_m . The *initial degree* of an ideal I is the integer $\alpha_I := \min\{m \in \mathbb{N} : I_m \neq 0\}$.

We will denote by $x^{\alpha} = x_0^{\alpha_0} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ any term in S, $|\alpha|$ is its degree, and we say that x^{α} divides x^{β} (for short $x^{\alpha}|x^{\beta}$) if there exists a term x^{γ} such that $x^{\beta} = x^{\alpha}x^{\gamma}$. For every term $x^{\alpha} \neq 1$ we set $\min(x^{\alpha}) = \min\{x_i : x_i | x^{\alpha}\}$ and $\max(x^{\alpha}) = \max\{x_i : x_i | x^{\alpha}\}$.

Definition 1.1. The support Supp(h) of a polynomial h is the set of terms that occur in h with non-null coefficients.

If J is a monomial ideal, B_J denotes its (minimal) monomial basis and $\mathcal{N}(J)$ its sous-éscalier, that is the set of terms outside J. For every polynomial f of J, we get $Supp(f) \cap \mathcal{N}(J) = \emptyset$.

Definition 1.2. Given a monomial ideal J and an ideal I, a J-normal form modulo I of a polynomial h is a polynomial h_0 such that $h - h_0 \in I$ and $Supp(h_0) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(J)$.

If I is homogeneous, the J-normal form modulo I of a homogeneous polynomial h is supposed to be homogeneous too.

Definition 1.3. [17] A marked polynomial is a polynomial $f \in S$ together with a specified term of Supp(f) that will be called head term of f and denoted Ht(f).

Definition 1.4. A finite set G of homogeneous marked polynomials $f_{\alpha} = x^{\alpha} - \sum c_{\alpha\gamma}x^{\gamma}$, with $Ht(f_{\alpha}) = x^{\alpha}$, is called *J-marked set* if the head terms $Ht(f_{\alpha})$ (different two by two) form the monomial basis B_J of a monomial ideal J and every x^{γ} belongs to $\mathcal{N}(J)$, so that $|Supp(f) \cap J| = 1$. A J-marked set G is a J-marked basis if $\mathcal{N}(J)$ is a basis of S/(G) as a K-vector space, i.e. $S = (G) \oplus \langle \mathcal{N}(J) \rangle$ as a K-vector space.

Remark 1.5. The ideal (G) generated by a J-marked basis G has the same Hilbert function of J, hence $dim_K J_m = dim_K(G)_m$ for every $m \geq 0$, by the definition of J-marked basis itself.

Definition 1.6. The family of all homogeneous ideals I such that $\mathcal{N}(J)$ is a basis of the quotient S/I as a K-vector space will be denoted $\mathcal{M}f(J)$ and called J-marked family.

Remark 1.7. (1) If I belongs to $\mathcal{M}f(J)$, then I contains a J-marked set.

(2) A J-marked family $\mathcal{M}f(J)$ contains every homogeneous ideal having J as initial ideal with respect to some term order, but it can also contain other ideals, as we will see in Example 3.18.

Proposition 1.8. Let G be a J-marked set. The following facts are equivalent:

- (i) G is a J-marked basis;
- (ii) the ideal (G) belongs to $\mathcal{M}f(J)$;
- (iii) every polynomial h of S has a unique J-normal form modulo (G).

Proof. It follows by the definition of J-marked basis.

Remark 1.9. A J-marked basis is unique for the ideal that it generates, by the unicity of B_J and of the J-normal forms of monomials.

In next examples we will see that not every J-marked set G is also a J-marked basis, even when (G) and J share the same Hilbert function. Moreover, it can happen that a J-marked set G is not a J-marked basis, although there exists an ideal I containing G but not generated by G such that $\mathcal{N}(J)$ is a K-basis for S/I.

Example 1.10. (i) In K[x, y, z] let $J = (xy, z^2)$ and I be the ideal generated by $f_1 = xy + yz$, $f_2 = xy + yz$ $z^2 + xz$, which form a J-marked set. Note that J defines a 0-dimensional subscheme in \mathbb{P}^2 , while I defines a 1-dimensional subscheme, because it contains the line x + z = 0. Therefore, I and J do not have the same Hilbert function, so that $\{f_1, f_2\}$ is not a J-marked basis by Remark 1.5.

(ii) In K[x, y, z], let $J = (xy, z^2)$ and I be the ideal generated by $g_1 = xy + x^2 - yz$, $g_2 = xy + yz$ $z^2 + y^2 - xz$, which form a J-marked set. Note that J and I have the same Hilbert function because they are both complete intersections of two quadrics. However, $\mathcal{N}(J)$ is not free in K[x,y,z]/I because $zg_1+yg_2=x^2z+y^3\in I$ is a sum of terms in $\mathcal{N}(J)$. Hence $\{g_1,g_2\}$ is not a *J*-marked basis.

(iii) In K[x,y,z], let $J=(xy,z^2)$ and I be the ideal generated by $f_1=xy+yz, f_2=z^2+xz, f_3=xyz$. Both I and J define 0-dimensional subschemes in \mathbb{P}^2 of degree 4. Moreover, I belongs to $\mathcal{M}f(J)$ because for every $m\geq 2$ the K-vector space $U_m=I_m+\mathcal{N}(J)_m=I_m+\langle x^m,y^m,x^{m-1}z,y^{m-1}z\rangle$ is equal to $K[x,y,z]_m$. This is obvious for m=2. Assume $m\geq 3$. Then, U_m contains all the terms $y^{m-i}z^i$, because $yz^2=zf_1-f_3$ belongs to I. Moreover U_m contains all the terms $x^{m-i}y^i$ because $x^2y=xf_1-f_3\in I$ and $xy^{m-1}=y^{m-2}f_1-zy^{m-1}\in U_m$. Finally, by induction on i, we can see that all the terms x^iz^{m-i} belong to U_m . Indeed, as already proved, z^m belongs to U_m , hence $x^{i-1}z^{m-i+1}\in U_m$ implies $x^iz^{m-i}=x^{i-1}z^{m-i-1}f_2-x^{i-1}z^{m-i+1}\in U_m$. However, the J-marked set $G=\{f_1,f_2\}$ does not generate I and is not a J-marked basis, as shown in (i).

2. Strongly stable ideals J and J-marked bases

In this section we show that the properties of J-marked sets improve decisevely if J is strongly stable.

Recall that a monomial ideal J is strongly stable if and only if, for every $x_0^{\alpha_0} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ in J, also the term $x_0^{\alpha_0} \dots x_i^{\alpha_{i-1}} \dots x_j^{\alpha_{j+1}} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ belongs to J, for each $0 \le i < j \le n$ with $\alpha_i > 0$, or, equivalently, for every $x_0^{\beta_0} \dots x_n^{\beta_n}$ in $\mathcal{N}(J)$, also the term $x_0^{\beta_0} \dots x_h^{\beta_{h+1}} \dots x_h^{\beta_{k-1}} \dots x_n^{\beta_n}$ belongs to $\mathcal{N}(J)$, for each $0 \le h < k \le n$ with $\beta_k > 0$.

A strongly stable ideal is always Borel-fixed, that is fixed under the action of the Borel subgroup of lower-triangular invertibles matrices. If ch(K) = 0, also the vice versa holds (e.g. [5]) and [7] guarantees that in generic coordinates the initial ideal of an ideal I, with respect to a fixed term order, is a constant Borel-fixed monomial ideal, denoted gin(I) and called the generic initial ideal of I.

In [17] a reduction relation $\stackrel{\mathcal{F}}{\longrightarrow}$ modulo a given set \mathcal{F} of marked polynomials is defined in the usual sense of Gröbner bases theory and it is proved that, if $\stackrel{\mathcal{F}}{\longrightarrow}$ is Noetherian, then there exists an admissible term order \prec on S such that Ht(f) is the \prec -leading term of f, for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$, being the converse already known [3]. If we take a J-marked set G, $\stackrel{G}{\longrightarrow}$ can be non-Noetherian, as the following example shows. However, we will see that, if J is a strongly stable ideal and G is a J-marked set, every homogeneous polynomial has a J-normal form modulo G.

Example 2.1. Let us consider the *J*-marked set $G = \{f_1 = xy + yz, f_2 = z^2 + xz\}$, where $Ht(f_1) = xy$ and $Ht(f_2) = z^2$. The term h = xyz can be rewritten only by $xyz - zf_1 = -yz^2$ and the term $-yz^2$ can be rewritten only by $-yz^2 + yf_2 = xyz$, which is again the term we wanted to rewrite. Hence, the reduction relation $\stackrel{G}{\longrightarrow}$ is not Noetherian. Observe that in this case $J = (xy, z^2)$ is not strongly stable, but $\stackrel{G}{\longrightarrow}$ can be non-Noetherian also if J is strongly stable, as Example 3.18 will show.

Theorem 2.2. (Existence of *J*-normal forms) Let $G = \{f_{\alpha} = x^{\alpha} - \sum c_{\alpha\gamma}x^{\gamma} : Ht(f_{\alpha}) = x^{\alpha} \in B_J\}$ be a *J*-marked set, with *J* strongly stable. Then, every polynomial of *S* has a *J*-normal form modulo (G).

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that our assertion holds for the terms, because G is formed by homogeneous polynomials. Let us consider the set E of terms which have not a J-normal form modulo (G). Of course $E \cap B_J = \emptyset$. If E is not empty and x^{β} belongs to E, then $x^{\beta} = x_i x^{\delta}$ for some x^{δ} in J. We choose x^{β} so that its degree m is the minimum in E and that, among the

terms of degree m in E, x_i is minimal. Let $\sum c_{\delta\gamma}x^{\gamma}$ be a J-normal form modulo (G) of x^{δ} , that exists by the minimality of m. Thus we can rewrite x^{β} by $\sum c_{\delta\gamma}x_ix^{\gamma}$. We claim that all terms $x_i x^{\gamma}$ do not belong to E. On the contrary, if $x_i x^{\gamma}$ belongs to E, then $x_i x^{\gamma} = x_i x^{\epsilon}$ for some x^{ϵ} in J. If it were $x_i < x_j$ then, by the strongly stable property and since x^{γ} belongs to $\mathcal{N}(J)$, we would get that $x^{\epsilon} = x_i x^{\gamma}/x_i$ belongs to $\mathcal{N}(J)$, that is impossible. So, we have $x_i < x_i$ and by the minimality of x_i the term $x_i x^{\gamma}$ has a *J*-normal form modulo (G). This is a contradiction and so E is empty.

Corollary 2.3. If J is a strongly stable ideal and I a homogeneous ideal containing a J-marked set G, then $\mathcal{N}(J)$ generates S/I as a K-vector space, so that $\dim_K I_m \geq \dim_K J_m$, for every $m \ge 0$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.2, for every polynomial h there exists a polynomial h_0 such that $h-h_0$ belongs to $(G) \subseteq I$ and $Supp(h_0) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(J)$. So, all the elements of S/I are linear combinations of terms of $\mathcal{N}(J)$ and the thesis follows.

Corollary 2.4. Let J be a strongly stable ideal and G be a J-marked set. Then, G is a Jmarked basis if and only if $\dim_K(G)_m \leq \dim_K J_m$, for every $m \geq 0$ or, equivalently, $\mathcal{N}(J)$ is free in S/(G).

Proof. By Proposition 1.8, G is a J-marked basis if and only if every polynomial has a unique J-normal form modulo (G). So, it is enough to apply Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.3.

Corollary 2.5. Let I be a strongly stable ideal and I be a homogeneous ideal. Then I belongs to $\mathcal{M}f(J)$ if and only if I has a J-marked basis.

Proof. If I has a J-marked basis then I belongs to $\mathcal{M}f(J)$ by definition. Vice versa, apply Remark 1.7(1) and Corollary 2.4.

Remark 2.6. Every reduced Gröbner basis of a homogeneous ideal with respect to a graded term order is a J-marked basis for some monomial ideal J, hence every homogeneous ideal contains a J-marked basis. But, unless we are in generic coordinates, not every (homogeneous) ideal contains a J-marked basis with J strongly stable, as for example a monomial ideal which is not strongly stable.

Let G be a J-marked basis with J strongly stable. Thanks to the existence and the unicity of J-normal forms, G can behave like a Gröbner basis in solving problems, as the membership ideal problem in the homogeneous case. Indeed, by the unicity of J-normal forms, a polynomial belongs to the ideal (G) if and only if its J-normal form modulo (G) is null. But, until now, we have not a computational method to construct J-normal forms yet.

In next section, by exploiting the proof of Theorem 2.2, we provide an algorithm which, in the hypothesis that J is strongly stable, reduces every homogeneous polynomial to a J-normal form modulo (G) in a finite number of steps, although $\stackrel{G}{\longrightarrow}$ is not necessarily Noetherian. This fact allows us also to recognize when a J-marked set is a J-marked basis by a Buchberger-like criterion and, hence, to develop effective computational aspects of J-marked bases.

3. Effective methods for J-marked bases

Let I be the homogeneous ideal generated by a J-marked set $G = \{f_{\alpha} = x^{\alpha} - \sum c_{\alpha\gamma}x^{\gamma} : \}$ $Ht(f_{\alpha}) = x^{\alpha} \in B_J$, where J is strongly stable, so that every polynomial has a J-normal form modulo I, by Theorem 2.2.

In this section we obtain an efficient procedure to compute in a finite number of steps a J-normal form modulo I of every homogeneous polynomial. To this aim, we need some more definitions and results.

For every degree m, the K-vector space I_m formed by the homogeneous polynomials of degree m of I is generated by the set $W_m = \{x^{\delta}f_{\alpha} : x^{\delta+\alpha} \text{ has degree } m, f_{\alpha} \in G\}$, that becomes a set of marked polynomials letting $Ht(x^{\delta}f_{\alpha}) = x^{\delta+\alpha}$.

Lemma 3.1. Let x^{β} be a term of $J_m \setminus B_J$ and $x_i = \min(x^{\beta})$. Then x^{β}/x_i belongs to J_{m-1} .

Proof. By the hypothesis there exists at a least a term of J_{m-1} that divides the given term x^{β} . So, let x_j such that x^{β}/x_j belongs to J_{m-1} . If $x_j = x_i$, we are done. Otherwise, we get $x^{\beta} = x_i x_j x^{\delta}$, for some term x^{δ} , so that $x_i x^{\delta} = x^{\beta}/x_j$ belongs to J_{m-1} . By the definition of a strongly stable ideal and since $x_j > x_i$, we obtain that $x^{\beta}/x_i = x_j x^{\delta}$ belongs to J_{m-1} .

Definition 3.2. For every $m \geq \alpha_J$, we define the following special subset of W_m , by induction on m. If $m = \alpha_J$ is the initial degree of J, we set $V_m := G_m$. For every $m > \alpha_J$, we set $V_m := G_m \cup \{g_\beta : x^\beta \in J_m \setminus G_m\}$, where $g_\beta := x_i g_\epsilon$ with $x_i = \min(x^\beta)$ and g_ϵ the unique polynomial of V_{m-1} with head term $x^\epsilon = x^\beta/x_i$.

Remark 3.3. By construction, for every element g_{β} of $V_m \subseteq W_m$ there exist x^{δ} and $f_{\alpha} \in G$ such that $g_{\beta} = x^{\delta} f_{\alpha}$ and $x^{\delta} = 1$ or $\max(x^{\delta}) \leq \min(x^{\alpha})$. In particular, we get $\min(x^{\delta}) = \min(x^{\beta})$. Note that Definition 3.2 makes sense due to Lemma 3.1.

For every integer $m \geq \alpha_J$, we define the following total order \succeq_m on V_m .

Definition 3.4. For every $f_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha'} \in G_m$, we set $f_{\alpha} \succeq_m f_{\alpha'}$ if and only if $Ht(f_{\alpha}) \geq Ht(f_{\alpha'})$ with respect to a fixed term order \geq . For every $g_{\beta} \in V_m \setminus G_m$ and $f_{\alpha} \in G_m$, we set $g_{\beta} \succeq_m f_{\alpha}$. For every $m > \alpha_J$, given $x_i g_{\epsilon}, x_j g_{\eta} \in V_m \setminus G_m$, where $x_i = \min(x_i x^{\epsilon})$ and $x_j = \min(x_j x^{\eta})$, we set

$$x_i g_{\epsilon} \succeq_m x_j g_{\eta} \Leftrightarrow x_i > x_j \text{ or } x_i = x_j \text{ and } g_{\epsilon} \succeq_{m-1} g_{\eta}.$$

By the definition of V_m and by well-known properties of a strongly stable ideal, we get the routine VCONSTRUCTOR to compute V_m , for every $\alpha_J \leq m \leq s$.

Lemma 3.5. With the above notation,

$$x_i g_{\epsilon} \in V_m \setminus G_m \text{ and } x^{\beta} \in Supp(x_i g_{\epsilon}) \setminus \{x_i x^{\epsilon}\} \text{ with } g_{\beta} \in V_m \Rightarrow x_i g_{\epsilon} \succ_m g_{\beta}.$$

Proof. By induction on m, first observe that for $m = \alpha_J$ there is nothing to prove because $V_{\alpha_J} = G_{\alpha_J}$. For $m > \alpha_J$, let $g_\beta = x_j g_\eta \notin G_m$. If $x_i = x_j$, then x^η belongs to $Supp(g_\epsilon) \setminus \{x^\epsilon\}$ and, by the induction, we have $g_\eta \prec_{m-1} g_\epsilon$. Otherwise, note that every term of $Supp(x_i g_\epsilon)$ is divided by x_i , so $x_j x^\eta = x_i x^\lambda$ and, by Remark 3.3, we get $x_j = \min(x^\beta) = \min(x_i x^\lambda) \leq x_i$. \square

Proposition 3.6. (Construction of *J*-normal forms) With the above notation, every term $x^{\beta} \in J_m \setminus G_m$ can be reduced to a *J*-normal form modulo *I* in a finite number of reduction steps, using only polynomials of V_m . Hence, the reduction relation $\xrightarrow{V_m}$ is Noetherian in S_m .

Proof. By definition of V_m , every term x^{β} of J_m is the head term of one and only one polynomial g_{β} of $V_m \subseteq W_m$. Hence, we rewrite x^{β} by g_{β} getting a K-linear combination of terms belonging to $Supp(g_{\beta}) \setminus \{x^{\beta}\}$. Applying Lemma 3.5 repeately, we are done since V_m is a finite set. \square

```
1: procedure VConstructor(G,s) \rightarrow V_{\alpha_s} \dots, V_s
Require: G is a J-marked set ordered with respect to a graduate term order on the head
    terms, with J a strongly stable ideal, and s > \alpha_J.
Ensure: V_m ordered by \succeq_m, for every \alpha_J \leq m \leq s
        \alpha_J := \min\{deg(Ht(f_\alpha))|f_\alpha \in G\}
 3:
         V_{\alpha_I} := G_{\alpha}
 4:
        for m = \alpha_J + 1 to s do
 5:
             V_m := G_m;
             for i = 0 to n do
 6:
 7:
                 for j = 1 to |V_{m-1}| do
                     if i \leq \min(Ht(V_{m-1}[j])) then
 8:
                         V_m = V_m \cup \{x_i V_{m-1}[j]\}
 9:
                     end if
10:
                 end for
11:
12:
             end for
         end for
13:
```

Definition 3.7. A homogeneous polynomial, with support contained in $\mathcal{N}(J)$ and in relation by $\xrightarrow{V_m}$ to a homogeneous polynomial h of degree m, is denoted \bar{h} and called V_m -reduction of h.

return $V_{\alpha_i} \dots, V_s$;

15: end procedure

14:

For every homogeneous polynomial h of degree m, \bar{h} is a J-normal form modulo I. Hence, from the procedure described in the proof of Proposition 3.6 we obtain the routine NORMAL-FORMCONSTRUCTOR that, actually, form a step of a division algorithm with respect to a J-marked set, with J strongly stable.

```
1: procedure NormalFormConstructor(h, V_m) \rightarrow h
Require: h is a homogeneous polynomial of degree m
Require: a list V_m, as defined in Definition 3.2, and ordered by \succeq_m
Ensure: V_m-reduction h of h
 2:
        L := |V_m|;
 3:
        for K = 1 to L do
            x^{\eta} := Ht(V_m[K]);
 4:
            a := \text{coefficient of } x^{\eta} \text{ in } h;
 5:
            if a \neq 0 then
 6:
 7:
                h := h - a \cdot V_m[K];
 8:
            end if;
        end for
 9:
        return h;
10:
11: end procedure
```

Remark 3.8. There is a strong analogy between the union of the sets V_m and the so-called staggered bases, introduced by [8] and studied also by [15].

Now, we extend to W_m the order \succeq_m defined on V_m . Recall that, in our setting, a term x^{δ} is higher than a term $x^{\delta'}$ with respect to the degree reverse lexicographic term order (for short $x^{\delta} >_{drl} x^{\delta'}$) if $|\delta| > |\delta'|$ or $|\delta| = |\delta'|$ and the first non null entry of $\delta - \delta'$ is negative.

Definition 3.9. Let the polynomials of G_m be ordered as in Definition 3.4 and $x^{\delta} f_{\alpha}$, $x^{\delta'} f_{\alpha'}$ be two elements of W_m . We set

$$x^{\delta} f_{\alpha} \succeq_m x^{\delta'} f_{\alpha'} \Leftrightarrow x^{\delta} >_{drl} x^{\delta'} \text{ or } x^{\delta} = x^{\delta'} \text{ and } Ht(f_{\alpha}) \geq Ht(f_{\alpha'}).$$

Lemma 3.10. (i) For every two elements $x^{\delta} f_{\alpha}$, $x^{\delta'} f_{\alpha'}$ of W_m we get

$$x^{\delta} f_{\alpha} \succeq_{m} x^{\delta'} f_{\alpha'} \Rightarrow \forall x^{\eta} : x^{\delta + \eta} f_{\alpha} \succeq_{m'} x^{\delta' + \eta} f_{\alpha'},$$

where $m' = |\delta + \eta + \alpha|$.

(ii) Every polynomial $g_{\beta} \in V_m$ is the minimum with respect to \leq_m of the subset W_{β} of W_m containing all polynomials of W_m with x^{β} as head term.

(iii) $x^{\delta} f_{\alpha} \in W_m \setminus G_m \text{ and } x^{\beta} \in Supp(x^{\delta} x^{\alpha}) \setminus \{x^{\delta} x^{\alpha}\} \text{ with } g_{\beta} \in V_m \Rightarrow x^{\delta} f_{\alpha} \succ_m g_{\beta}.$

Proof. (i) It follows by the analogous property of every term order.

- (ii) The statement holds by construction of V_m and by Remark 3.3. Indeed, by same arguments as before, if $x^{\delta}f_{\alpha}$ is any polynomial of W_{β} and $g_{\beta} = x^{\delta'}f_{\alpha'} \in V_m$, with $\max(x^{\delta'}) \leq \min(x^{\alpha'})$ as in Remark 3.3, then $x_j = \min(x^{\delta'}) = \min(x^{\delta'+\alpha'}) = \min(x^{\delta+\alpha}) \leq \min(x^{\delta})$. If the equality holds, it is enough to observe that $\frac{x^{\delta}}{x_j}f_{\alpha} \in W_{m-1}$ and $\frac{x^{\delta'}}{x_j}f_{\alpha'} \in V_{m-1}$ by construction.
- (iii) It is analogous to the proof of Lemma 3.5. If x^{β} belongs to J_m we are done. Otherwise, note that every term of $Supp(x^{\delta}f_{\alpha})$ is multiple of x^{δ} , in particular $x^{\delta'+\alpha'}=x^{\delta+\gamma}$ for some $x^{\gamma} \in \mathcal{N}(J)$. Let $x_i = \min(x^{\delta})$ and $x_j = \min(x^{\delta'})$. By Remark 3.3, we get $x_j = \min(x^{\delta'+\alpha'}) = \min(x^{\delta+\gamma}) \leq \min(x^{\delta}) = x_i$. If $x_j = x_i$, then x^{β}/x_i belongs to the support of $\frac{x^{\delta}}{x_i}f_{\alpha}$ and use induction.

In Remark 2.6 we have already observed that in generic coordinates every homogeneous ideal has a J-marked basis, with J strongly stable. Now, given a strongly stable ideal J, we describe a Buchberger-like algorithmic method to check if a J-marked set is or not a J-marked basis, recovering the well-known notion of S-polynomial from the Gröbner bases theory.

Definition 3.11. The *S-polynomial* of two elements f_{α} , $f_{\alpha'}$ of a *J*-marked set *G* is the polynomial $S(f_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha'}) := x^{\beta} f_{\alpha} - x^{\beta'} f_{\alpha'}$, where $x^{\beta+\alpha} = x^{\beta'+\alpha'} = lcm(x^{\alpha}, x^{\alpha'})$.

Theorem 3.12. (Buchberger-like criterion) Let J be a strongly stable ideal and I the homogeneous ideal generated by a J-marked set G. With the above notation:

$$I \in \mathcal{M}f(J) \Leftrightarrow \overline{S(f_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha'})} = 0, \forall f_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha'} \in G.$$

Proof. Recall that $I \in \mathcal{M}f(J)$ if and only if G is a J-marked basis, so that every polynomial has a unique J-normal form modulo I. Since $\underline{S(f_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha'})}$ belongs to I by construction, its J-normal form modulo I is null and coincides with $\overline{S(f_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha'})}$, by the unicity of J-normal forms.

For the converse, by Corollary 2.4 it is enough to show that, for every m, the K-vector space I_m is generated by the $dim_K J_m$ elements of V_m . More precisely we will show that every polynomial $x^{\delta} f_{\alpha} \in W_m$ either belongs to V_m or is a K-linear combination of elements of V_m lower than $x^{\delta} f_{\alpha}$ itself. We may assume that this fact holds for every polynomial in W_m lower than $x^{\delta} f_{\alpha}$. If $x^{\delta} f_{\alpha}$ belongs to V_m there is nothing to prove. If $x^{\delta} f_{\alpha}$ does not belong

to V_m , let $x^{\delta'}f_{\alpha'} = \min(W_{\delta+\alpha}) \in V_m$, so that $x^{\delta}f_{\alpha} \succ_m x^{\delta'}f_{\alpha'}$, and consider the polynomial $g = x^{\delta} f_{\alpha} - x^{\delta'} f_{\alpha'}.$

If g is the S-polynomial $S(f_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha'})$, then it is a K-linear combination $\sum c_i g_{\eta_i}$ of polynomials of V_m because $\overline{S(f_\alpha, f_{\alpha'})} = 0$ by the hypothesis. Moreover, by construction, $x^{\delta'} f_{\alpha'}$ belongs to V_m and, thanks to Lemma 3.10, for all i we have $x^{\delta} f_{\alpha} \succ_m g_{\eta_i}$.

If g is not the S-polynomial $S(f_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha'})$, then there exists a term $x^{\beta} \neq 1$ such that g = $x^{\beta}S(f_{\alpha},f_{\alpha'})=x^{\beta}(x^{\eta}f_{\alpha}-x^{\eta'}f_{\alpha'}),$ where recall that by the hypothesis $S(f_{\alpha},f_{\alpha'})$ is a K-linear combination $\sum c_i g_{\eta_i}$ of elements of $V_{m-|\beta|}$ lower than $x^{\eta} f_{\alpha}$, being again $x^{\eta} f_{\alpha} \succ_m x^{\eta'} f_{\alpha'}$. Hence, $x^{\delta} f_{\alpha} = x^{\delta'} f_{\alpha'} + \sum c_i x^{\beta} g_{\eta_i}$, where all polynomials appearing in the right hand are lower than $x^{\delta}f_{\alpha}$ with respect to \succ_m . So we can apply to them the inductive hypothesis for which either they are elements of V_m or they are K-linear combinations of lower elements in V_m . This allows us to conclude.

Let $H = (h_1, \ldots, h_t)$ be a syzygy of a *J*-basis $G = \{f_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, f_{\alpha_t}\}$ such that every polynomial $h_i = \sum c_{i\beta} x^{\beta}$ is homogeneous and every product $h_i f_{\alpha_i}$ has the same degree m. A syzygy $M=(m_1,\ldots,m_t)$ of J is homogeneous if, for every $1\leq i\leq t$, we have $m_ix^{\alpha_i}=c_{i\epsilon}x^{\epsilon}$, for a constant term x^{ϵ} and $c_{i\epsilon} \in K$.

Definition 3.13. The head term Ht(H) of the syzygy H is the head term of the polynomial $H_{max} := \max_{\succeq m} \{x^{\beta} f_{\alpha_i} : i \in \{1, \dots, t\}, x^{\beta} \in Supp(h_i)\}$. If $Ht(H) = x^{\eta}$, let $H^+ = (h_1^+, \dots, h_t^+)$ be the t-uple such that $h_i^+ = c_{i\beta} x^{\beta}$, where $x^{\beta} x^{\alpha_i} = x^{\eta}$, i.e. $x^{\beta} f_{\alpha_i} \in W_{\eta}$. Given a homogeneous syzygy M of J, we say that H is a lifting of M, or that M lifts to H, if $H^+ = M$.

Corollary 3.14. Every homogeneous syzygy of J lifts to a syzygy of a J-marked basis G.

Proof. Recall that syzygies of type $(0, \ldots, x^{\beta}, \ldots, -x^{\beta'}, 0, \ldots)$ form a system of homogeneous generators of syzygies of $B_J = \{\ldots, x^{\alpha}, \ldots, x^{\alpha'}, \ldots\}$, where $x^{\beta+\alpha} = x^{\beta'+\alpha'} = lcm(x^{\alpha}, x^{\alpha'})$. Thus, apply Theorem 3.12.

Until now we have shown that a J-marked basis satisfies the characterizing properties of a Gröbner basis. In the following result we consider a property that does not characterize Gröbner bases, but it is satisfied by Gröbner bases. We show that it is satisfied by J-marked bases too, by standard arguments.

Corollary 3.15. Let $\{M_1, \ldots, M_t\}$ be a set of homogeneous generators of the module of syzygies of J. Then, a set $\{K_1, \ldots, K_t\}$ of liftings of the M_i 's generates the module of syzygies of G.

Proof. First, observe that the module of syzygies of $G = \{f_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, f_{\alpha_t}\}$ is generated by the syzygies $H = (h_1, \ldots, h_t)$ such that every $h_i = \sum c_{i\beta} x^{\beta}$ is a homogeneous polynomial and every product $h_i f_{\alpha_i}$ has the same degree m. Let H^{+} the syzygy of J, as computed in Definition 3.13. Hence, there exist homogeneous polynomials q_1, \ldots, q_t such that $H^+ = \sum q_i M_i$. Let $H_1 = H - \sum q_i K_i$. By construction we get that $H_{max}(H_1) \prec_m H_{max}(H)$, by Lemmas 3.5 and 3.10. Since \leq_m is a total order on the finite set W_m , we can conclude.

Remark 3.16. In the proof of Theorem 3.12 we do not use V_m -reductions of all S-polynomials $x^{\delta}f_{\alpha}-x^{\delta'}f_{\alpha'}$ of elements in G, but only of those such that either $x^{\delta}f_{\alpha}$ or $x^{\delta'}f_{\alpha'}$ belongs to some V_m . Moreover, we can consider the analogous property to that of the improved Buchberger algorithm that only considers S-polynomials corresponding to a set of generators for the syzygies of J. Thus we can improve Corollary 2.4 and say that, in the same hypotheses:

$$I \in \mathcal{M}f(J) \iff \forall m \leq m_0, \ \dim_k I_m = \dim_k J_m \iff \forall m \leq m_0, \ \dim_k I_m \leq \dim_k J_m$$

where m_0 is the maximum degree of generators of syzygies of J. Hence, to prove that $\dim_k I_m = \dim_k J_m$ for some m it is sufficient that the V_m -reductions of the S-polynomials of degree $\leq m$ are null.

Example 3.17. Let $J = (x^2, xy, xz, y^2) \subset k[x, y, z]$, where x > y > z and consider a J-marked set $G = \{f_{x^2}, f_{xy}, f_{xz}, f_{y^2}\}$. In order to check whether G is a J-marked basis it is sufficient to verify if the polynomials $S(f_{x^2}, f_{xy})$, $S(f_{x^2}, f_{xz})$, $S(f_{x^2}, f_{y^2})$, $S(f_{xy}, f_{xz})$ and $S(f_{xy}, f_{y^2})$ have V_m -reductions null, but it is not necessary to controll $S(f_{xz}, f_{y^2})$ because yzf_{xy} is the element of V_3 with head term xy^2z .

Example 3.18. Let $J=(x^3,x^2y,xy^2,y^5)_{\geq 4}$ be a strongly stable ideal in k[x,y,z], with x>y>z, and $G=B_J\cup\{f\}\setminus\{xy^2z\}$ a J-marked set, where $f=xy^2z-y^4-x^2z^2$ with $Ht(f)=xy^2z$. We can verify that G is a J-marked basis using the Buchberger-like criterion proved in Theorem 3.12. Indeed, the S-polynomials non involving f vanish and all the S-polynomials involving f are multiple of either $x\cdot(y^4+x^2z^2)$ or $y\cdot(y^4+x^2z^2)$. Since the terms $y^4\cdot x, y^4\cdot y, x^2z^2\cdot x, x^2z^2\cdot y$ belong to V_5 , all the S-polynomials have V_m -reductions null. Notice also that, in this case, $x^2y^2z\in V_7$ (while $xzf\notin V_7$), a different choice of reduction gives the loop:

$$x^2y^2z^3 \xrightarrow{f} xy^4z^2 + x^3z^4 \xrightarrow{x^3z^2} xy^4z^2 \xrightarrow{f} y^6z + x^2y^2z^3 \xrightarrow{y^5} x^2y^2z^3.$$

Morover, G is not a Gröbner basis with respect to any term order \prec . Indeed, $xy^2z^2 \succ y^4z$ and $xy^2z^2 \succ x^2z^3$ would be in contradiction with the equality $(xy^2z^2)^2 = x^2z^3 \cdot y^4z$.

4. J-Marked families as affine schemes

In this section J is always supposed strongly stable, so that we can use all results described in the previous sections for J-marked bases.

Here we provide the construction of an affine scheme whose points correspond, one to one, to the ideals of the J-marked family $\mathcal{M}f(J)$. Recall that $\mathcal{M}f(J)$ is the family of all homogeneous ideals I such that $\mathcal{N}(J)$ is a basis for S/I as a K-vector space, hence $\mathcal{M}f(J)$ contains all homogeneous ideals for which J is the initial ideal with respect to a fixed term order. We generalize to any strongly stable ideal J an approach already proposed in literature in case J is considered an initial ideal (e.g. [4, 6, 11, 18, 19]).

For every $x^{\alpha} \in B_J$, let $F_{\alpha} := x^{\alpha} - \sum C_{\alpha\gamma} x^{\gamma}$, where x^{γ} belongs to $\mathcal{N}(J)_{|\alpha|}$ and the $C_{\alpha\gamma}$'s are new variables. Let C be the set of such new variables and N := |C|. The set \mathcal{G} of all the polynomials F_{α} becomes a J-marked set letting $Ht(F_{\alpha}) = x^{\alpha}$. From \mathcal{G} we can obtain the J-marked basis of every ideal $I \in \mathcal{M}f(J)$ specializing in a unique way the variables C in K^N , since every ideal $I \in \mathcal{M}f(J)$ has a unique J-marked basis (Remark 1.9 and Corollary 2.5). But not every specialization gives rise to an ideal of $\mathcal{M}f(J)$.

Let \mathcal{V}_m be the analogous for \mathcal{G} of V_m for any G. Let $H_{\alpha\alpha'}$ be the \mathcal{V}_m -reductions of the S-polynomials $S(F_{\alpha}, F_{\alpha'})$ of elements of \mathcal{G} and extract their coefficients that are polynomials in K[C]. We will denote by \mathfrak{R} the ideal of K[C] generated by these coefficients. Let \mathfrak{R}' be the ideal of K[C] obtained in the same way of \mathfrak{R} but only considering S-polynomials $S(F_{\alpha}, F_{\alpha'}) = x^{\delta}F_{\alpha} - x^{\delta'}F_{\alpha'}$ such that $x^{\delta}F_{\alpha}$ is minimal among those with head term $x^{\delta+\alpha}$.

Theorem 4.1. There is a one to one correspondence between the ideals of $\mathcal{M}f(J)$ and the points of the affine scheme in K^N defined by the ideal \mathfrak{R} . Moreover, $\mathfrak{R}' = \mathfrak{R}$.

Proof. For the first assertion it is enough to apply Theorem 3.12, observing that a specialization of the variables C in K^N gives rise to a J-marked basis if and only if the values chosen for the variables C form a point of K^N on which all polynomials of the ideal \mathfrak{R} vanish.

For the second assertion, first recall that, by Remark 3.16, every S-polynomial $x^{\delta}F_{\alpha} - x^{\delta'}F_{\alpha'}$ can be written as the sum $(x^{\delta}F_{\alpha} - x^{\delta''}F_{\alpha''}) + (x^{\delta''}F_{\alpha''} - x^{\delta'}F_{\alpha'})$ of two S-polynomials, where $x^{\delta''}f_{\alpha''}$ belongs to V_m . Note that, considering the variables C as parameters, the support of $x^{\delta}F_{\alpha} - x^{\delta'}F_{\alpha'}$ is contained in the union of the supports of $x^{\delta}F_{\alpha} - x^{\delta''}F_{\alpha''}$ and of $x^{\delta''}F_{\alpha''} - x^{\delta'}F_{\alpha'}$. In particular, the coefficients in $x^{\delta}F_{\alpha} - x^{\delta''}F_{\alpha'}$, i.e. the generators of \mathfrak{R} , are combinations of the coefficients in $(x^{\delta}F_{\alpha} - x^{\delta''}F_{\alpha''}) + (x^{\delta''}F_{\alpha''} - x^{\delta'}F_{\alpha'})$, i.e. of the generators of \mathfrak{R}' .

Now, by exploiting ideas of [11], we show how to obtain \mathfrak{R} in a different way, using the rank of some matrices.

By Corollary 2.4, a specialization $C \to c \in K^N$ trasforms \mathcal{G} in a J-basis G if and only if $dim_K(G)_m = dim_K J_m$, for every degree m. Thus, for each m, consider the matrix A_m whose columns correspond to the terms of degree m in $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and whose rows contain the coefficients of the terms in every polynomial of degree m of type $x^{\delta} F_{\alpha}$. Hence, every entry of the matrix A_m is 1, 0 or one of the variables C. Let \mathfrak{A} be the ideal of K[C] generated by the minors of order $dim_K J_m + 1$ of A_m , for every m.

Lemma 4.2. The ideal \mathfrak{A} is equal to the ideal \mathfrak{R}' .

Proof. Let $a_m = \dim_k J_m$. We consider in A_m the $a_m \times a_m$ submatrix whose columns corresponds to the terms in J_m and whose rows are given by the polynomials $x^\beta F_\alpha$ that are minimal with respect to the partial order $>_m$. Up to a permutation of rows and columns, this submatrix is upper-triangular with 1 on the main diagonal. We may also assume that it corresponds to the first a_m rows and columns in A_m . Then the ideal $\mathfrak A$ is generated by the determinants of $a_m + 1 \times a_m + 1$ sub-matrices containing that above considered. Moreover the Gaussian row-reduction of A_m with respect to the first a_m rows is nothing else than the $\mathcal V_m$ -reduction of the S-polynomials of the special type considered defining $\mathfrak R'$.

Definition 4.3. The affine scheme S(J) defined by the ideal $\mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{R}' = \mathfrak{A}$ is called *J-marked scheme*.

Theorem 4.4. The J-marked scheme S(J) is homogeneous with respect to a non-standard grading λ of K[C] over the group \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} given by $\lambda(C_{\alpha\gamma}) = \alpha - \gamma$.

Proof. To prove that $\mathcal{M}f(J)$ is λ -homogeneous it is sufficient to show that every minor of A_m is λ -homogeneous. Let us denote by $C_{\alpha\alpha}$ the coefficient (= 1) of x^{α} in every polynomial F_{α} : we can apply also to the "symbol" $C_{\alpha\alpha}$ the definition of λ -degree of the variables $C_{\alpha\gamma}$, because $\alpha - \alpha = 0$ is indeed the λ -degree of the constant 1. In this way, the entry in the row $x^{\beta}F_{\alpha}$ and in the column x^{δ} is $\pm C_{\alpha\gamma}$ if $x^{\delta} = x^{\beta}x^{\gamma}$ and is 0 otherwise.

Let us consider the minor of order s determined in the matrix A_m by the s rows corresponding to $x^{\beta_i}F_{\alpha_i}$ and by the s columns corresponding to $X^{\delta_{j_i}}$, $i=1,\ldots,s$. Every monomial that appears in the computation of such a minor is of type $\prod_{i=1}^s C_{\alpha_i\gamma_{j_i}}$ with $x^{\delta_{j_i}} = x^{\beta_i}x^{\gamma_{j_i}}$. Then its

degree is:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} (\alpha_i - \gamma_{j_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} (\alpha_i - \delta_{j_i} + \beta_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} (\alpha_i + \beta_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{s} \delta_{j_i}$$

which only depends on the minor.

Let \prec be a term order and $\mathcal{S}t_h(J, \prec)$ a so-called Gröbner stratum [11], i.e. the affine scheme that parameterizes all the homogeneous ideals with initial ideal J with respect to \prec . We can obtain $\mathcal{S}t_h(J, \prec)$ as the section of $\mathcal{S}(J)$ by the linear subspace L determined by the ideal $(C_{\alpha\gamma}: x^{\alpha} \prec x^{\gamma}) \subset k[C]$. In particular, if m_0 is defined as in Remark 3.16 and, for every $m \leq m_0$, J_m is a \prec -segment, i.e. it is generated by the highest $\dim_k J_m$ monomials with respect to \prec , then $\mathcal{S}t_h(J, \preceq)$ and $\mathcal{S}(J)$ are the same affine scheme. In fact we can obtain both schemes using the same construction. Actually, for some strongly stable ideals J we can find a suitable term ordering such that $\mathcal{S}t_h(J, \prec) = \mathcal{S}(J)$, but there are cases in which $\bigcup_{\prec} \mathcal{S}t_h(J, \prec)$ is strictly contained in $\mathcal{S}(J)$ (see the Appendix).

The existence of a term order such that $S(J) = St_h(J, \preceq)$ has interesting consequences on the geometrical features of the affine scheme S(J). In fact the λ -grading on k[C] is positive if and only if such a term ordering exists and, in this case, we can isomorphically project S(J) in the Zariski tangent space at the origin (see [6]). As a consequence of this projection we can prove, for instance, that the affine scheme S(J) is connected and that it is isomorphic to an affine space, provided the origin is a smooth point. If for a given ideal J such a term ordering does not exist, then in general we cannot embed S(J) in the Zariski tangent space at the origin (see the Appendix). However we do not know examples of Borel ideals J such that either S(J) has more than one connected component or J is smooth and S(J) is not rational.

Denote reg(I) the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of a homogeneous ideal I.

Proposition 4.5. A J-marked family $\mathcal{M}f(J)$ is flat in the origin. In particular, for every ideal I in $\mathcal{M}f(J)$, we get $reg(J) \geq reg(I)$.

Proof. Analogously to what is suggested in [2] and by referring to [1, Corollary, section 3, part I], we know that $\mathcal{M}f(J)$ is a flat family at J, i.e. at the point C=0, if and only if every syzygy of J lifts to a syzygy among the polynomials of \mathcal{G} or, equivalently, the restrictions to C=0 of the syzygies of \mathcal{G} generate the S-module of syzygies of J. By Corollary 3.14 we know that every syzygy of J lifts to a syzygy of G, for every specialization of C in the affine scheme defined by the ideal \mathfrak{R} . And this is true thanks to Theorem 3.12 that allows also to lift a syzygy of J to a syzygy of G over the ring $(K[C]/\mathfrak{R})[x_0,\ldots,x_n]$. So, the first assertion holds.

For the second assertion, it is enough to recall that Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity is upper semicontinous in flat families [9, Theorem 12.8, Chapter III] and that in our case the syzygies of J lift to syzygies of G for every specialization of the variables C in the affine scheme S(J), i.e. for every ideal I of $\mathcal{M}f(J)$, not only in some neighborhood of J.

Remark 4.6. A given homogeneous ideal I belongs to $\mathcal{M}f(J)$ if and only I has the same Hilbert function of J and the affine scheme defined by the ideal of K[C] generated by \mathfrak{R} and by the coefficients of the \mathcal{V}_m -reductions of the generators of I is not empty. Indeed, the ideal I belongs to $\mathcal{M}f(J)$ if and only if it has the same Hilbert function of J and there exists a specialization \bar{C} in S(J) such that every generator of I belongs to the ideal $(\bar{\mathcal{G}})$ generated by the polynomials

of \mathcal{G} evaluated on \bar{C} . The generators of I belong to $(\bar{\mathcal{G}})$ if and only if their \mathcal{V}_m -reductions evaluated on \bar{C} become null.

Appendix: an explicit computation

Let J be the strongly stable ideal $(x^4, x^3y, x^2y^2, xy^3, x^3z, x^2yz, xy^2z, y^5)$ in K[z, y, x] (where x > y > z and ch(K) = 0), already considered in Example 3.18. Note that for every term order we can find in degree 4 a monomial in J lower than a monomial in $\mathcal{N}(J)$, because $xy^2z \succ x^2z^2$ and $xy^2z \succ y^4$ would be in contradiction with the equality $(xy^2z)^2 = x^2z^2 \cdot y^4$. Hence, J_4 is not a segment (in the usual meaning) with respect to any term order.

The affine scheme S(J) can be embedded as a locally closed subscheme in the Hilbert scheme of 8 points in the projective plane (see [12]), which is irreducible smooth of dimension 16, and contains all the Gröbner strata $St_h(J, \prec)$, for every \prec , and also some more point, for instance the one corresponding to the ideal I of Example 3.18.

Letting
$$G = \{F_1, ..., F_8\} \subset K[x, y, z, c_1, ..., c_{64}]$$
 where

$$\begin{split} F_1 &= x^4 + c_1 z^2 x^2 + c_2 y^4 + c_3 z^2 y x + c_4 z y^3 + c_5 z^3 x + c_6 z^2 y^2 + c_7 z^3 y + c_8 z^4, \\ F_2 &= x^3 y + c_9 z^2 x^2 + c_{10} y^4 + c_{11} z^2 y x + c_{12} z y^3 + c_{13} z^3 x + c_{14} z^2 y^2 + c_{15} z^3 y + c_{16} z^4, \\ F_3 &= x^2 y^2 + c_{17} z^2 x^2 + c_{18} y^4 + c_{19} z^2 y x + c_{20} z y^3 + c_{21} z^3 x + c_{22} z^2 y^2 + c_{23} z^3 y + c_{24} z^4, \\ F_4 &= x y^3 + c_{25} z^2 x^2 + c_{26} y^4 + c_{27} z^2 y x + c_{28} z y^3 + c_{29} z^3 x + c_{30} z^2 y^2 + c_{31} z^3 y + c_{32} z^4, \\ F_5 &= x^3 z + c_{33} z^2 x^2 + c_{34} y^4 + c_{35} z^2 y x + c_{36} z y^3 + c_{37} z^3 x + c_{38} z^2 y^2 + c_{39} z^3 y + c_{40} z^4, \\ F_6 &= x^2 y z + c_{41} z^2 x^2 + c_{42} y^4 + c_{43} z^2 y x + c_{44} z y^3 + c_{45} z^3 x + c_{46} z^2 y^2 + c_{47} z^3 y + c_{48} z^4, \\ F_7 &= x y^2 z + c_{49} z^2 x^2 + c_{50} y_4 + c_{51} z^2 y x + c_{52} z y^3 + c_{53} z^3 x + c_{54} z^2 y^2 + c_{55} z^3 y + c_{56} z^4, \\ F_8 &= y^5 + c_{57} z^3 x^2 + c_{58} z y^4 + c_{59} z^3 y x + c_{60} z^2 y^3 + c_{61} z^4 x + c_{62} z^3 y^2 + c_{63} z^4 y + c_{64} z^5, \\ \end{split}$$

by Maple 12 we compute the ideal \mathfrak{R}' and the following ideal defining the Zariski tangent space T to $\mathcal{S}(J)$ at the origin that has dimension 16

$$I(T) = (c_{64}, c_{63}, c_{61}, c_{56}, c_{55}, c_{53}, c_{48}, c_{47}, c_{46}, c_{45}, c_{44}, c_{40}, c_{39}, c_{38}, c_{37}, c_{36}, c_{32}, c_{31}, c_{30}, c_{29}, c_{28} - c_{54}, c_{27}, c_{26} - c_{52}, c_{25}, c_{24}, c_{23}, c_{22}, c_{21}, c_{20}, c_{19}, c_{18}, c_{17}, c_{16}, c_{15}, c_{14}, c_{13}, c_{12}, c_{11}, c_{10}, c_{9}, c_{8}, c_{7}, c_{6}, c_{5}, c_{4}, c_{3}, c_{2}, c_{1}).$$

In the ideal \mathfrak{R}' we eliminate several variables of type C by applying [12, Theorem 5.4] and by substituting variables that appear only in the linear part of some polynomials of \mathfrak{R}' . It follows that $\mathcal{S}(J)$ can be isomorphically projected on a linear space $T' \simeq \mathbb{A}^{19}$ containing T. In this embedding, $\mathcal{S}(J)$ is the complete intersection of the following three hypersurfaces in \mathbb{A}^{19} of degrees 4, 4 and 8, respectively:

 $G_{1} = c_{41}^{2}c_{49}c_{50} + c_{41}c_{49}c_{50}c_{51} + c_{41}c_{50}^{2}c_{57} + c_{42}c_{49}c_{50}c_{57} + c_{43}c_{49}^{2}c_{50} + c_{49}c_{50}^{2}c_{59} + c_{49}c_{50}c_{51}^{2} + c_{50}^{2}c_{51}c_{57} + c_{50}c_{57}c_{58} - c_{41}c_{49}c_{52} - c_{49}c_{50}c_{53} - c_{49}c_{51}c_{52} - 2c_{50}c_{52}c_{57} + c_{33}c_{49} - c_{41}^{2} + c_{41}c_{51} - c_{42}c_{57} - c_{43}c_{49} + c_{49}c_{54} - c_{53},$

 $G_2 = c_{41}c_{42}c_{49}c_{50} + c_{42}c_{49}c_{50}c_{51} + c_{42}c_{49}c_{50}c_{58} + c_{42}c_{50}^2c_{57} + c_{43}c_{49}c_{50}^2 + c_{50}^3c_{59} + c_{50}^2c_{51}^2 + c_{50}^2c_{51}c_{58} + c_{50}^2c_{58}^2 - c_{42}c_{49}c_{52} - c_{44}c_{49}c_{50} - c_{50}^2c_{53} - c_{50}^2c_{60} - 2c_{50}c_{51}c_{52} - 2c_{50}c_{52}c_{58} + c_{34}c_{49} - c_{41}c_{42} + c_{42}c_{51} - c_{42}c_{58} - c_{43}c_{50} + 2c_{50}c_{54} + c_{52}^2 + c_{44},$

 $\begin{array}{c} c_{42}c_{58}-c_{43}c_{50}+2c_{50}c_{54}+c_{52}+c_{44},\\ G_3=-c_{41}^3c_{49}^3c_{50}^2-c_{41}^2c_{49}^3c_{50}^2c_{51}+c_{41}^2c_{49}^3c_{50}^2c_{58}-2c_{41}^2c_{49}^2c_{50}^3c_{57}+c_{41}c_{42}^2c_{49}^5+2c_{41}c_{49}^3c_{50}^2c_{51}c_{58}+\\ c_{41}c_{49}^3c_{50}^2c_{58}^2-2c_{41}c_{49}^2c_{50}^3c_{51}c_{57}-c_{41}c_{49}c_{50}^4c_{57}^2+c_{42}^2c_{49}^4c_{51}+c_{42}^2c_{49}^5c_{58}-c_{49}^3c_{50}^2c_{51}c_{58}^2+\\ 2c_{49}^2c_{50}^3c_{51}c_{57}c_{58}+2c_{49}^2c_{50}^3c_{57}c_{58}^2-c_{49}c_{50}^4c_{51}c_{57}^2-c_{49}c_{50}^4c_{57}^2c_{58}+2c_{41}^2c_{49}^3c_{50}c_{52}-2c_{41}c_{42}c_{49}^4c_{50}^2c_{57}^2c_{58}+\\ 2c_{49}^2c_{50}^3c_{51}c_{57}c_{58}+2c_{49}^2c_{50}^3c_{57}c_{58}^2-c_{49}c_{50}^4c_{51}c_{57}^2-c_{49}c_{50}^4c_{57}^2c_{58}+2c_{41}^2c_{49}^3c_{50}c_{52}-2c_{41}c_{42}c_{49}^4c_{50}^2c_{57}^2c_{58}+\\ 2c_{49}^2c_{50}^3c_{51}c_{57}c_{58}+2c_{49}^2c_{50}^3c_{57}c_{58}^2-c_{49}c_{50}^4c_{51}c_{57}^2-c_{49}c_{50}^4c_{57}^2c_{58}+2c_{41}^2c_{49}^4c_{50}^2c_{57}^2c_{58}+\\ 2c_{41}^2c_{49}^2c_{50}^3c_{51}c_{57}c_{58}+2c_{49}^2c_{50}^3c_{57}c_{58}^2-c_{49}c_{50}^4c_{51}c_{57}^2-c_{49}c_{50}^4c_{57}^2c_{58}+2c_{41}^2c_{49}^4c_{50}^2c_{57}^2c_{58}+\\ 2c_{41}^2c_{49}^2c_{50}^3c_{57}c_{58}+2c_{49}^2c_{50}^3c_{57}c_{58}^2-c_{49}^4c_{50}^4c_{57}^2c_{57}^2-c_{49}c_{50}^4c_{57}^2c_{58}^2-c_{49}^2c_{50}^4c_{57}^2c_{58}^2-c_{49}^2c_{50}^4c_{57}^2c_{58}^2-c_{49}^2c_{50}^4c_{57}^2c_{58}^2-c_{49}^2c_{50}^4c_{57}^2c_{58}^2-c_{49}^2c_{50}^4c_{57}^2c_{58}^2-c_{49}^2c_{50}^4c_{57}^2c_{58}^2-c_{49}^2c_{50}^4c_{57}^2c_{58}^2-c_{49}^2c_{50}^4c_{57}^2c_{58}^2-c_{49}^2c_{50}^4c_{57}^2c_{58}^2-c_{49}^2c_{50}^4c_{57}^2c_{58}^2-c_{49}^2c_{50}^4c_{57}^2c_{58}^2-c_{49}^2c_{50}^4c_{57}^2c_{58}^2-c_{49}^2c_{50}^4c_{57}^2c_{58}^2-c_{49}^2c_{50}^4c_{57}^2c_{58}^2-c_{49}^2c_{50}^4c_{57}^2c_{58}^2-c_{49}^2c_{50}^4c_{57}^2c_{58}^2-c_{49}^2c_{50}^2c_{57}^2c_{58}^2-c_{49}^2c_{50}^2c_{57}^2c_{58}^2-c_{49}^2c_{50}^2c_{57}^2c_{58}^2-c_{49}^2c_{50}^2c_{57}^2c_{58}^2-c_{57}^2c_{58}^2c_{57}^2c_{58}^2-c_{57}^2c_{58}^2c_{57}^2c_{58}^2-c_{57}^2c_{58}^$

 $-4c_{41}c_{49}^{3}c_{50}c_{52}c_{58}+4c_{41}c_{49}^{2}c_{50}^{2}c_{52}c_{57}-2c_{42}c_{44}c_{49}^{4}-2c_{50}c_{60}-2c_{42}c_{49}^{4}c_{50}c_{50}-2c_{52}c_{58}^{2}\\-4c_{49}^{2}c_{50}^{2}c_{52}c_{57}c_{58}+2c_{49}c_{50}^{3}c_{52}c_{57}^{2}-2c_{33}c_{41}c_{49}^{3}c_{50}+2c_{33}c_{49}^{4}c_{50}c_{58}-2c_{33}c_{49}^{2}c_{50}^{2}c_{57}+2c_{34}c_{41}c_{49}^{4}-2c_{50}c_{57}+4c_{41}^{3}c_{49}^{2}c_{50}-2c_{41}^{2}c_{42}c_{49}^{3}-2c_{41}^{2}c_{49}^{2}c_{50}c_{51}-4c_{41}^{2}c_{49}^{2}c_{50}c_{58}+5c_{41}^{2}c_{49}c_{50}c_{57}+3c_{41}c_{43}c_{49}^{3}c_{50}-c_{41}^{2}c_{42}c_{49}^{3}-2c_{41}^{2}c_{49}^{2}c_{50}c_{51}-4c_{41}^{2}c_{49}^{2}c_{50}c_{58}+5c_{41}^{2}c_{49}c_{50}c_{57}+3c_{41}c_{43}c_{49}^{3}c_{50}+c_{41}c_{49}^{3}c_{50}^{2}c_{59}+c_{41}c_{49}c_{50}^{2}c_{50}c_{58}+2c_{41}c_{49}^{3}c_{50}^{2}c_{57}+2c_{41}c_{50}^{3}c_{57}^{2}+c_{42}c_{49}^{3}c_{50}c_{57}+3c_{41}c_{43}c_{49}^{3}c_{50}c_{59}+c_{41}c_{49}^{2}c_{50}^{2}c_{59}+c_{41}c_{49}c_{50}^{2}c_{50}c_{57}+2c_{41}c_{50}^{3}c_{57}^{2}+c_{42}c_{49}^{3}c_{50}c_{57}+3c_{41}c_{43}c_{49}^{3}c_{50}c_{59}+c_{42}c_{49}^{3}c_{50}c_{57}+2c_{44}c_{49}^{4}c_{50}c_{57}+2c_{44}c_{49}^{4}c_{50}c_{57}+2c_{41}c_{49}^{3}c_{50}c_{57}+2c_{41}c_{49}^{3}c_{50}c_{57}+2c_{41}c_{49}^{3}c_{50}c_{57}+2c_{41}c_{49}^{3}c_{50}c_{57}+2c_{41}c_{49}^{3}c_{50}c_{57}+2c_{41}c_{49}^{3}c_{50}c_{57}+2c_{41}c_{49}^{3}c_{50}c_{57}+2c_{49}c_{50}^{3}c_{51}c_{57}-2c_{42}c_{49}^{3}c_{50}c_{57}+2c_{41}c_{49}^{3}c_{$

Among the generators of the corresponding Jacobian ideal we have the following minors D_i 's obtained by computing derivatives of G_1, G_2, G_3 with respect to the sets of variables A_i 's, for $1 \le i \le 5$:

```
\begin{array}{ll} D1 := -(2c_{49}c_{50}-1)(c_{49}c_{50}-1)(c_{49}c_{50}+1), & A_1 = \{c_{61},c_{44},c_{53}\}; \\ D_2 = -(c_{49}c_{50}+1)(c_{49}c_{50}-1)^2c_{49}, & A_2 = \{c_{53},c_{44},c_{62}\}; \\ D_3 = -c_{50}(2c_{49}c_{50}-1)(c_{49}c_{50}-1), & A_3 = \{c_{43},c_{61},c_{53}\}; \\ D_4 = c_{49}(c_{49}c_{50}-1)^2(2c_{49}c_{50}-1), & A_4 = \{c_{43},c_{61},c_{44}\}; \\ D_5 = (c_{49}c_{50}+1)c_{50}^2(2c_{49}c_{50}-1), & A_5 = \{c_{53},c_{60},c_{61}\}. \end{array}
```

The polynomials D_i 's define the empty set, so that S(J) is smooth as we expected and, in particular, J corresponds to a smooth point on S(J). Moreover, $\mathcal{M}f(J)$ has dimension 16 but we claim that it cannot be isomorphically projected on T. Indeed, note that we can choose a set of 16 variables that is complementary to the tangent space and that do not contain the variables c_{53} , c_{44} , c_{61} which occur in the linear parts of the polynomials G_i 's. These variables appear also in other parts of the polynomials and their coefficients are $c_{49}c_{50} + 1$, $c_{49}c_{50} - 1$ and $2c_{49}c_{50} - 1$, respectively. If $\bar{c} \in \mathbb{T}$ is a point of the tangent space on which none of the coefficients vanishes, we obtain a unique point of $\mathcal{M}f(J)$ of which \bar{c} is the projection on T. If $\bar{c} \in T$ is a general point of the tangent space on which one of this coefficient vanishes, one can see that \bar{c} is not the projection of any point of $\mathcal{M}f(J)$. Hence, the projection of $\mathcal{M}f(J)$ on T does not coincide with the tangent space T, but only with an open set. However, this fact implies that $\mathcal{M}f(J)$ is rational, in particular irreducible.

We point out that the variables c_{49} and c_{50} , that appear in the coefficients of the variables c_{53} , c_{44} , c_{61} , are the coefficients in the polynomial F_7 of the two terms z^2x^2 , y_4 whose behaviour prevents the ideal J from being a segment. Indeed, in this case the affine scheme $\mathcal{M}f(J)$ is homogeneous with respect to a non-positive grading.

References

[1] Michael Artin, Lectures on deformations of singularities, Tata Institute on Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1976, Notes by C. S. Seshadri and Allen Tannenbaum.

- [2] Dave Bayer and David Mumford, What can be computed in algebraic geometry?, Computational algebraic geometry and commutative algebra (Cortona, 1991), Sympos. Math., XXXIV, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993, pp. 1–48.
- [3] Bruno Buchberger, Gröbner bases an algorithmic method in polynomial ideal theory, Multidimensional systems Theory (N. K. Bose, ed.), Reidel Publishing Company, 1985, pp. 184–232.
- [4] Giuseppa Carrà Ferro, *Gröbner bases and Hilbert schemes. I*, J. Symbolic Comput. **6** (1988), no. 2-3, 219–230, Computational aspects of commutative algebra.
- [5] Todd Deery, Rev-lex segment ideals and minimal Betti numbers, The Curves Seminar at Queen's, Vol. X (Kingston, ON, 1995), Queen's Papers in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 102, Queen's Univ., Kingston, ON, 1996, pp. 193–219.
- [6] Giorgio Ferrarese and Margherita Roggero, *Homogeneous varieties for Hilbert schemes*, Int. J. Algebra **3** (2009), no. 9-12, 547–557.
- [7] André Galligo, Théorème de division et stabilité en géométrie analytique locale, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 29 (1979), no. 2, vii, 107–184.
- [8] Rüdiger Gebauer and H. Michael Möller, Computation of minimal generators of ideals of fat points, SYM-SAC '86 Proceedings of the fifth ACM symposium on Symbolic and algebraic computation (New York), ACM, 1986, pp. 218–221 (electronic).
- [9] Robin Hartshorne, Algebraic geometry, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.
- [10] Martin Kreuzer and Lorenzo Robbiano, Computational commutative algebra. 1, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000
- [11] Paolo Lella and Margherita Roggero, Rational components of Hilbert schemes, Available at arXiv:0903.1029, 2009.
- [12] _____, Borel open coverings of Hilbert schemes, Preprint, 2010.
- [13] Tie Luo and Erol Yilmaz, On the lifting problem for homogeneous ideals, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 162 (2001), no. 2-3, 327–335.
- [14] H. Michael Möller and Ferdinando Mora, New constructive methods in classical ideal theory, J. Algebra 100 (1986), no. 1, 138–178.
- [15] H. Michael Möller, Ferdinando Mora, and Carlo Traverso, *Gröbner Bases Computation Using Syzygies*, Proceedings of the 1992 International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation (New York), ACM, 1992, pp. 320–328 (electronic).
- [16] R. Notari and M. L. Spreafico, A stratification of Hilbert schemes by initial ideals and applications, Manuscripta Math. 101 (2000), no. 4, 429–448.
- [17] Alyson Reeves and Bernd Sturmfels, A note on polynomial reduction, J. Symbolic Comput. 16 (1993), no. 3, 273–277.
- [18] Lorenzo Robbiano, On border basis and Gröbner basis schemes, Collect. Math. 60 (2009), no. 1, 11–25.
- [19] Margherita Roggero and Lea Terracini, *Ideals with an assigned initial ideal*, International Mathematical Forum **5** (2010), no. 55, 2731–2750.
- [20] Giuseppe Valla, Problems and results on Hilbert functions of graded algebras, Six lectures on commutative algebra (Bellaterra, 1996), Progr. Math., vol. 166, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998, pp. 293–344.

E-mail address: cioffifr@unina.it

Francesca Cioffi, Dip. di Matematica e Applicazioni, Università di Napoli "Federico II", 80126 Napoli, Italy

E-mail address: margherita.roggero@unito.it

Margherita Roggero, Università degli Studi di Torino, Dipartimento di Matematica, Via Carlo Alberto 10, 10123 Torino (Italy)